No. ID/RTK/144-83/51177.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Uma Shankar Pandy, and the management of M/s. A. K. Industries M. I.E. Baha lurg rh (Rohtak) regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes [Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No.3864-ASO (E) Lab-7)/13648, dated 8th May, 197), read with Government notification No. 9641 I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Shri Uma Shankar Pandy was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/215-83/51184.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Manderka and the management of M/s (i) Shri P.K. Sagar Contractor Bailing Board Saw Mills, Unit Shree Gopal, Yamunanagar (ii) Vidyadh r Mishar and Shankar Tiwari Contractor, Bailing Board Saw Mills Ballarpur Industries Ltd. Unit Shree Gopal Yamunanagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

. And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana here by refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—wide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Manderka was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/205-83/51191.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhanwar Singh and the management of M/s Perfect Pack Ltd. Plot No. 134, Sector 24, Faridabad regarding the matters hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhanwar Singh is justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/214-33/51198.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Ram Achala and the management of M/s (i) Shri P. K. Sagar Contractor Bailing Board Saw Mills, Unit Shree Gopal Yamunan (gar (ii) Vidyadhar Mishar and Shankar Tiwari Contractor, Bailing Board Saw Mills Ballarput Industries Ltd., Unit Shree Gopal Yamunan (gar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing)

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government Notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958, read with Notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated the 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Achal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?